

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,017	10/518,017 12/14/2004		Takehiro Kata	122056	1938	
25944	7590	06/19/2006		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & E		GE, PLC	MACKEY, JAMES P			
P.O. BOX 1 ALEXAND		A 22320	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				1722		
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u></u>				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/518,017		KATA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		James Macke	•	1722					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	n appears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the set patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. period will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time pire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONED	I. tely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓	This action is non-	-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-29</u> is/are pending in the application.									
, –	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 12-29 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requ	irement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for $X = X = X = X = X = X = X = X = X = X $	reign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
,-	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been r	eceived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority document	s have been receive	ed in this National S	Stage				
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified	d copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P		-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	• • • • •	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 12-18 and 22-28, drawn to a method of manufacturing a laminated mold.

Group II, claim(s) 19-21 and 29, drawn to a laminated mold.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the laminated mold of Group II is not required to be made by the method as claimed in Group I, as demonstrated by the claiming of two distinct species of methods of manufacturing the laminated mold in Group I (specifically, either laminating thin sheets followed by removing excess portions by a shot blast, or tapering the thin sheets before laminating into a laminated mold).

3. If Applicant elects Group I, a further election of species will be required, as follows:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A, laminating thin sheets followed by removing excess portions by a shot blast.

Species B, tapering the thin sheets before laminating into a laminated mold.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

Art Unit: 1722

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species A, claims 12-14, 18 and 22-25. Species B, claims 15-17 and 26-28.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species A removes excess portions on the thin sheets following lamination and specifically requires removing the excess portions by means of a shot blast, while Species B removes excess portions on the thin sheets before lamination by tapering respective thin sheets.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative on 08 June 2006, and Applicant's representative, James Golladay, responded on 12 June 2006 and 15 June 2006, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,017

Art Unit: 1722

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mackey whose telephone number is 571-272-1135. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1722

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

James Mackey Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

6/15/06

jpm June 15, 2006